

**KING & SPALDING LLP**  
MICHAEL J. SHEPARD (SBN 91281)  
*mshepard@kslaw.com*  
50 California Street, Suite 3300  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: +1 415 318 1200  
Facsimile: +1 415 318 1300

KERRIE C. DENT (Admitted *pro hac vice*)  
*kdent@kslaw.com*  
1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 900  
Washington, DC 20006-4707  
Telephone: +1 202 626 2394  
Facsimile: +1 202 626 3737

CINDY A. DIAMOND (CA SBN 124995)  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
58 West Portal Ave, # 350  
San Francisco, CA 94127  
408.981.6307  
[cindy@cadiamond.com](mailto:cindy@cadiamond.com)

Attorneys for Defendant  
ROWLAND MARCUS ANDRADE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Case No.: 3:20-CR-00249-RS  
Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
ROWLAND MARCUS ANDRADE, )  
Defendant. )  
) **DECLARATION OF COUNSEL IN  
SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION  
FOR RULE 17(c) SUBPOENA**  
)  
)

I, Cindy A. Diamond, hereby state and declare:

1       1. I am an attorney, duly licensed to practice before this Court and in the State of  
 2 California, and I am an associate attorney working for the defendant, Marcus Andrade, in the  
 3 above-entitled case.

4       2. Mr. Andrade is accused of directly participating in a scheme or artifice to defraud, or  
 5 of aiding and abetting his co-schemer, Jack Abramoff. Abramoff has admitted fraud, and also  
 6 has a federal criminal history of fraud.

7       3. Mr. Andrade seeks this subpoena to obtain records of Abramoff's electronic  
 8 communications with him, with other associates of Abramoff about Abramoff's schemes, and  
 9 any documents related to Mr. Andrade's businesses, during the period of time relevant to  
 10 Abramoff and Mr. Andrade's acquaintance: 2015 through 2020. These records are stored on  
 11 electronic devices seized by the government in 2020, which are being returned to Abramoff's  
 12 attorney on May 3, 2024.

13       **Background and Diligent Effort to Obtain Devices in Discovery**

14       4. Thirteen electronic devices were taken from Abramoff's home during the  
 15 investigation of this case. Most (but not necessarily all) have stored data within them. During  
 16 discovery proceedings, the defense sought full copies of all electronics and argued that the  
 17 government's searches of the devices conducted pursuant to the Abramoff search warrants had  
 18 been too narrow for Mr. Andrade's defense purposes. The data are likely to contain documents  
 19 and records of communications (written and audio) related to Abramoff's communications with  
 20 or about Mr. Andrade, or Abramoff's communications with associates who knowingly worked  
 21 with Abramoff against Andrade's interests and behind Mr. Andrade's back. Such evidence is  
 22 necessary to help establish Mr. Andrade's trial defense that he lacked a guilty scienter in this  
 23 fraud case. The discovery referee, Judge Beeler, agreed copies of Abramoff's device are  
 24 relevant to the defense under *Brady*. However, Mr. Andrade was unable to obtain most of  
 25 Abramoff's devices through discovery proceedings.

26       5. The defense first requested copies of Abramoff's devices on March 3, 2022. Ongoing  
 27 discovery proceedings on these devices initially centered on Abramoff's primary cell phone.

28       6. After consideration of the parties' arguments, Judge Beeler found Mr. Andrade made

1 a sufficient showing of materiality under Rule 16, and also showed a likelihood of favorable  
 2 evidence requiring disclosure under *Brady v. Maryland*. ECF 165 at 10:10-18 (April 7, 2023).

3 7. Abramoff gave the government consent to release Abramoff's primary cell phone.  
 4 ECF 196 at 4. That device was provided to us in July, 2023. Thereafter, Mr. Andrade's counsel  
 5 continued to seek production of the remainder of Abramoff's devices.

6 8. However, copies of the rest of Abramoff's electronic devices were not delivered to  
 7 defense counsel. The government asserted that, consistent with an order issued on April 8, 2022,  
 8 in the *United States v. Balwani* case (Northern District of California, case number 18-CR-258-  
 9 EJD), the government did not have lawful possession of the full content of Abramoff's devices,  
 10 rather, they only had possession of the material listed on Attachment B under the search  
 11 warrants. The Abramoff devices remained in physical possession of the government, even as the  
 12 government denied legal possession under the *Balwani* rule.

13 9. Further discovery proceedings were held on March 7, 2024, following which, on  
 14 March 17, 2024, Judge Beeler ordered the government to deliver to Mr. Andrade all information  
 15 the government had seized from Abramoff's devices pursuant to Attachment B of their search  
 16 warrants. It appears the government complied with that order this month; defense counsel's  
 17 review is still ongoing.

18 10. With respect to the devices themselves, Judge Beeler accepted the government's  
 19 argument that the *Balwani* approach applies in this District. She ruled that the government did  
 20 not lawfully possess the additional material contained on Abramoff's devices, that the  
 21 government must return the devices to Abramoff, and that Mr. Andrade would be free to  
 22 subpoena the devices from Abramoff or his representative. ECF 292 at 2:18-3:17 (March 17,  
 23 2024).

24 11. Notice of the impending subpoena and a request for preservation were sent to  
 25 Abramoff's counsel on March 24, 2024. Counsel for Abramoff did not respond and did not  
 26 acknowledge he remained Abramoff's attorney for this purpose.

27 12. On March 28, 2024, in compliance with a deadline set by Judge Beeler's order, the  
 28 government reported that it intends to send Abramoff's devices to his counsel by May 3, 2024.

## Necessity of Subpoena

13. Accordingly, on behalf of Mr. Andrade, his lawyers now request this subpoena - addressed to Jack Abramoff or his legal representative - be issued forthwith. As shown above, Mr. Andrade has tried every other possible method to obtain these devices, and seeks this material by way of subpoena as a last resort.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. Executed this 30th day of April, 2024.

/s/

---

CINDY A. DIAMOND  
Declarant